



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/676,895	10/02/00	MASHIKO		Т	SANSH5.890A
-			٦		EXAMINER
020995 PM82/1031 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP				SWINEH	ART F
	T CENTER DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SIXTEENTH F NEWPORT BEA	LOOR			3617 DATE MAILED:	6
					10/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Applicant/a)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sh	eet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	2	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SEOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	, a reply within the statutory fault, expire SIX (6) MONTH	minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		•
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G	prosecution as to the merits is closed in i. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
Claim(s) 1-17		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-L		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Claim(s) 1-L		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approv	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approv bjected to by the Examir	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approv bjected to by the Examir	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approv bjected to by the Examir	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. red □ disapproved. ner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioritical contents.	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. red □ disapproved. her.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approving to be approved to by the Examirer. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 so of the priority document	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. red □ disapproved. ner. 9(a)-(d). ats have been
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies (received).	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. red □ disapproved. her. 9(a)-(d). hts have been CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies (received). received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certification of the Certification from the c	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. red □ disapproved. ner. 9(a)-(d). ats have been CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority and Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. The received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received:	wing Review, PTO-948 isapprov bjected to by the Examir er. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 s of the priority documen mber) International Bureau (P	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. red □ disapproved. ner. 9(a)-(d). ats have been CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numericeived in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 isapprov bjected to by the Examir er. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 s of the priority documen mber) International Bureau (P	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. red □ disapproved. ner. 9(a)-(d). ats have been CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,895 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 sets forth "a second set of valves comprising at least one valve", and such is improper, as one valve cannot constitute a set. Likewise, the "first set of passages" and second set of passages" are confusing for the same reason.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakase et al. in view of Isaka.

Nakase et al. teaches the field of the invention, including a twin overhead cam engine having a cylinder bank inclined to one side of a center plane. Nakase et al. fails to disclose more intake passages than exhaust passages, as is considered to have been old and well known in the art.

Art Unit: 3617

Isaka teaches a five valve head, in which there are provided three intake valves an passages, and two exhaust valves and passages.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide three intake valves and passages and two exhaust valves and passages to the head of Nakase et al. as taught by Isaka.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time of the invention was made so as to provide for improved charging efficiency.

- 4. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 3600 by facsimile transmission. The submission of such papers by facsimile transmission must comply with the notice published in the Official Gazette, **1096 OG 30** (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center number is (703)-305-7687.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed L. Swinehart whose telephone number is (703)-308-2566.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center 3600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

October 30, 2001

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617